1 |

CLERK US FILED COURT
/ / DEC"-0.00 / /
CENTRAL DISTINIOT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No. 09-2822 M			
12	Plaintiff,)			
13	vs. ORDER OF DETENTION			
14 15	Horacio antonio Egurabal			
10	Defendant.)			
16)			
17				
18				
19	A. () On motion of the Government in a case allegedly			
20	involving:			
21	1. () a crime of violence.			
22	2. () an offense with maximum sentence of life			
23	imprisonment or death.			
24	3. () a narcotics or controlled substance offense with			
25	maximum sentence of ten or more years.			
26	4. () a felony - where defendant convicted of two or			
27	more prior offenses described above.			
28				

1		B. (On motion (by the Government () on Court's own
2		motion, in a case allegedly involving:
3		() On the further allegation by the Government of:
4		1. (a serious risk defendant will flee.
5		2. () a serious risk defendant will:
6		a. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.
7	5	b. () threaten, injure or intimidate a prospective
8		witness or juror, or attempt to do so.
9		C. The Government () is/ () is not entitled to a rebuttable
10		presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will
11		reasonably assure defendant's appearance as required and the
12		safety or any person or the community.
13		
14	• / .	
15		The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions
16	will	reasonably assure:
17		1. () the appearance of defendant as required.
18		(v) and/ox
19		2. (V) the safety of any person or the community.
20		
21		IIİ
22	• .	The Court has considered:
23	- 4	A. the nature and circumstances of the offenses;
24		B. the weight of evidence against the defendant;
25	ਵੀਨ ਸ਼ਿੱਖ	C. the history and characteristics of the defendant; and
26		D. the nature and seriousness of the danger to any person or
27		the community.
28		

1	IV				
2	The Court has considered all the evidence adduced at the hearing				
3	and the arguments and/or statements of counsel.				
4					
5	v .				
6	The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:				
7	A. (X Flight risk: No Pietrial interview- no indication of				
8	bail resources or stability in community; previous beach				
9	wassants; multiple aliases + DOBS; nature of				
10	chargs				
11	B. (Y Danger: Climnal hishory				
12					
13					
14					
15	C. (See also Pretrial Services Report/recommendation.				
16	D. () Defendant has not rebutted by sufficient evidence to				
17	the contrary the presumption provided by statute.				
18					
19	VI				
20	A. The Court finds that a serious risk exists that defendant				
21	will:				
22	1. () obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice.				
23	2. () threaten, injure or intimidate a witness/juror.				
24	3. () attempt to threaten, injure or intimidate a				
25	witness/ juror.				
26	B. The Court bases the foregoing finding(s) on the following:				
27					
28					

() <u>See also</u> Pretrial Services Report/recommendation. 1 2 3 Section . VI 4 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendant be detained prior to Α. 5 trial. 6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be committed to the В. 7 custody of the Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons 8 9 awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending 10 appeal. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant be afforded reasonable 11 C. opportunity for private consultation with counsel. 12 13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, on order of a Court of the D. United States or on request of any attorney for the Government, 14 the person in charge of the corrections facility in which 15 16 defendant is confined deliver defendant to a United States 17 marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a 18 court proceeding. 19 12/9/09 Dated: 20 21 22 23 MAGISTRATE JUDGE 24 25 26 27 28